Contrast Echocardiography: A Method to Visualize Changes in Regional Myocardial Perfusion in the Dog Model for CABG Surgery
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The ability of contrast echocardiography to assess regional myocardial perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass in a dog model for coronary artery bypass surgery was evaluated. Sonicated Renografin-76 microbubbles (meglumin diatrizoate and sodium diatrizoate) were injected into an aortic root proximal to an aortic occlusion clamp root while dogs were on cardiopulmonary bypass, with the heart arrested in diastole. Echocardiographic contrast-enhanced regions of myocardial perfusion were easily visualized. Differences in contrast-enhanced myocardial regions depended on coronary artery occlusion or patency. The contrast-enhanced images of myocardial perfusion showed that, for a given myocardial segment of the supplying vessel, the presence or absence of contrast effect reliably predicted vessel occlusion or patency (P < .01). In the future contrast echocardiography may allow the direct assessment of regional myocardial perfusion in the operating room. (Key words: Measurement techniques; contrast echocardiography; microbubble; Renografin. Monitoring: transesophageal echocardiography. Surgery, cardiac: coronary artery bypass graft.)

MANY CLINICAL and research questions could be answered if a method were available to quantify regional myocardial perfusion. For example, if the cause of myocardial ischemia in a patient during cardiovascular surgery were identified, a logical treatment could be instituted. The method could then be used to assess the effectiveness of that therapy. Similarly, results of new bypass grafts on perfusion of myocardial tissue could be assessed to decide if additional bypass grafts were needed. To date, conventional imaging techniques only indirectly indicate the adequacy of regional myocardial perfusion.1 Coronary arteriography quantitates the lumen size of major coronary arteries but does not evaluate how well blood from that lumen perfuses myocardial tissue.2 Electrocardiographic, echocardiographic, and radionuclide studies enable analysis of the indirect metabolic or functional consequences of perfusion, but these techniques do not provide a method to directly assess myocardial perfusion.

Contrast echocardiography is a technique that has been used in a variety of clinical settings to detect intracardiac shunts3 and valvular regurgitation,4 to assess cardiac chambers,5 to enhance endocardial border detection, to measure cardiac output,6 and to evaluate mitral valve surgical results intraoperatively.7 Recently, contrast echocardiography has been used noninvasively to define myocardial perfusion.8,9

Previous investigators have shown that contrast echocardiography can successfully determine the extent of regional myocardial blood flow that results from coronary artery stenosis and occlusion in experimental animals and humans.10-13 Thus far, the success of contrast echocardiography in delineating changes in regional myocardial blood flow during cardiac surgery has been limited.

This report describes a new approach to the use of contrast echocardiography in dogs during cardiopulmonary bypass with the heart arrested during diastole. This approach may be used to evaluate regional myocardial perfusion in patients following coronary artery bypass surgery, while they are still on cardiopulmonary bypass, and prior to release of the aortic occlusive clamp.

Methods

Thirty-five contrast-enhanced myocardial perfusion patterns in six adult unpremedicated male mongrel dogs...
(15–25 kg) were studied after institutional approval was obtained. Our studies conformed to guidelines for animal care established by the American Physiological Society and the NIH.

General anesthesia was induced with pentobarbital (15–25 mg/kg iv) and oxygen. The trachea was intubated after muscle relaxation with pancuronium (0.2 mg/kg iv) and mechanical ventilation was provided using a Harvard animal ventilator. Further anesthesia was provided with additional pentobarbital (5 mg/kg iv) when signs of inadequate anesthesia (e.g., increased heart rate or blood pressure of 20% or more) appeared. The right femoral artery and vein were cannulated to transduce systemic blood pressures and to administer iv fluids, respectively. The electrocardiogram was continuously displayed. After a midline sternotomy was performed and a pericardial cradle created, the dogs were given heparin (3 mg/kg iv) as an anticoagulant. A venous cannula was inserted into the right atrium and an arterial cannula was inserted into the ascending aorta. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) was instituted at a flow of 50 ml/kg at 37°C.

The ascending aorta was occluded with a clamp and cardioplegia solution was infused into the proximal aortic root through one limb of a Y-type cardioplegia catheter until asystole occurred. The left anterior descending and the left circumflex coronary arteries were then identified and isolated with occlusion snares to selectively control perfusion to the region of myocardium subtended by each vessel.

Sterile Renografin-76, commercially purchased, and a sonicator (Hect Systems-Ultrasomics, Inc.) were used to create air-filled bubbles of Renografin with a method previously described.14 All injections were made for a standardized time and volume using an automated injector system (Medrad, Mark IV, Pittsburgh, PA) through the second limb of the Y-type aortic root (cardioplegia) catheter during continuous infusion of cardioplegia solution (fig. 1).

Prior to injection of sonicated Renografin, two-dimensional echocardiographic images were obtained of the short axis of the left ventricle at the midpapillary level by means of a hand-held scanner. Optimal gain settings for visualization were obtained prior to injection and maintained throughout the study. Beginning about 15 s prior to each injection, the echocardiograph was monitored and recorded on videotape for approximately 2 min. During cardiopulmonary bypass and (approximately 10 min) after the proximal aorta was occluded, 4 ml of sonicated Renografin was rapidly (2 s) injected into the aortic root in each of the following instances: 1) prior to any native coronary occlusion; 2) more than 2 and less than 4 min following random occlusion of either the LAD or LCX coronary artery; 3) 10 min following the release of the occlusion snare and presumed reconstitution of baseline coronary flow; 4) more than 2 and less than 4 min following occlusion of the other vessel (LAD or LCX); and 5) 10 min following release of the occlusion snare and presumed reconstitution of baseline coronary flow. Ultrasound reflectance was then analyzed off-line independently by three separate investigators who were blinded as to the order of the injection of contrast. For each injection, the presence or absence of contrast enhancement for regional myocardial perfusion was determined by each observer for anterior, lateral, septal, and posterior regions of the myocardium (fig. 2).

Statistical significance was determined using a chi-square analysis with P < 0.01 for statistical significance. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated for contrast effect. Sensitivity was defined as the number of times no contrast enhancement was observed in a region by any
observer divided by the number of times a vessel theoretically subserving that region was occluded. Specificity was defined as the number of times contrast enhancement was detected by all three observers divided by the number of times an injection was made into a nonoccluded vessel that theoretically subserved that region. Ultrasound reflectance was quantitated for regional myocardial segments in two dogs by means of a digitizing ultrasound system and off-line computer analysis. A modified commercial ultrasound scanner (ATL NK 600®) and a microcomputer, connected by a high-speed interface, were used to quantitate this reflectance. Off-line analysis of data involved displaying the digitally reconstructed two-dimensional images on a terminal screen (512 by 512 pixels per frame with 64 levels of gray per pixel). Regions of interest were then outlined. Twenty-five consecutive images were analyzed using the same region of interest for each injection. These results were reported as mean pixel intensity (MPI) over the range of 0–255 grey level units, for 25 consecutive frames, and then averaged (μ is a unit of pixel intensity). Different injection patterns were compared using the averaged MPI for each of four myocardial regions (anterior, septal, posterior, and lateral) by paired t tests and P < 0.05 as statistically significant.

Results

Echocardiographic visualization of the contrast effect was easily obtained in all dogs (figs. 3–5). All images were viewed by the participants of the study on-line as the contrast-enhanced perfusion patterns were recognized by observing the ultrasound monitor. All three observers visualized exactly the same contrast patterns independently off-line and thus only one reading is used per statistical analysis for all three observations (table 1).

Differences in contrast effects occurred depending on the vessel occluded (tables 1 and 2) and were significantly different from contrast effect when no vessel was occluded (tables 1 and 2, P ≤ 0.01). There were no measurable changes in systemic hemodynamics during injection of contrast while dogs were on cardiopulmonary bypass. The presence or absence of contrast effect reliably predicted vessel occlusion or patency (table 3).

The digital data indicate that for a given myocardial segment of the supplying vessel, mean pixel intensity increased significantly less during vessel occlusion than it did during vessel patency (20 μ vs. 80 μ; P < .01). In addition, no contrast effect was noted in the anterior septal regions when the LAD was occluded and minimal effect

Fig. 4. Left ventricular echocardiograms obtained before contrast injection (left) and during contrast injections while the LCX coronary artery was occluded (center) and after the left circumflex (LCX) coronary artery was patent (right). To the left is the left ventricle free wall, to the right is the septum and at the top is the anterior wall of the left ventricle.

Fig. 5. Left ventricular echocardiograms representing the video images before contrast injection (left) during contrast injection with the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) occluded (center) and during contrast injection immediately following release of the LAD occlusive snare (right).
was noted in the posterior and lateral regions when the
LCX was occluded (table 2). All intensity values were
above baseline (no contrast injected) indicating moderate
collateral flow in the canine myocardium.

The digital data, which used mean pixel intensity of
ultrasound images, provided further validation of what
the observers qualitatively reported after off-line analysis
of the video ultrasound images.

Discussion

Location and size of an underperfused (ischemic) region
of the heart are critical factors in assessing the prognosis
and need for interventions in patients who sustain peri-
operative myocardial infarction or ischemia.15 This
assessment is currently made in the operating room with
electrocardiography and occasionally with two-dimen-
sional echocardiography. While two-dimensional echo-
cardiography allows determination of the anatomic and
functional consequences of an infarcted or ischemic myo-
cardium, it tends to overestimate and correlate poorly
with infarct size in acute infarction models.16-19 Trans-
esophageal echocardiography (TEE) is commonly used
during surgery and anesthesia to assess myocardial func-
tion, volume status, and segmental wall motion changes.

The use of TEE has proven safe and reliable as a contin-
uous technique for providing anatomically accurate im-
ages without hindering the operative procedure in any
way. Because of the anatomic differences in the canine
mediastinum that preclude adequate imaging with TEE,
we used a hand-held transducer to obtain the character-
istic short-axis view of the left ventricle at the level of the
midpapillary muscle to simulate TEE clinical conditions.
Because of the possible recruitment of collateral myo-
cardial blood flow following temporary coronary artery oc-
cclusion,§§ we waited 10 min before reinjecting contrast
after release of the respective coronary artery occlusive
snare.

Various solutions and techniques have been used to
introduce a contrast effect. We used sonicated Renogra-
fin-76 microbubbles (meglumine diatrizoate and sodium
diatrizoate). Before the development of sonicated micro-
bubble ultrasound agents, contrast echocardiography
employed hand-agitated microbubbles that were relatively
too large and variable in size and thus were unable to

---

* See figure 1 for our definition of regions on echograms.
† Thus, the septal region was visualized during 2 of the 7 total in-
jections when the LAD was occluded.
‡ P < 0.01 compared to visualization of injections when no vessel
was occluded.
§ Numbers are mean pixel intensity in μ ± SD. (See text for method
of calculation.)
§§ Armstrong W, Gage S: Evaluation of reperfusion hyperemia with
myocardial contrast echocardiography. Journal of the American Society
pass through the capillary bed without obstruction. Although hand-agitated microbubbles from both right- and left-sided injections in human studies have permitted evaluation of valvular regurgitation, cavity dimension, and intracardiac shunts without complications, these microbubbles did not allow tracking of echo reflective tracers through the microvasculature within the myocardium. The process of sonication, a method developed by Feinstein et al., uses a high-frequency ultrasonic sonicator to produce a mixture of microcavitations that results in a smaller, more uniform bubble size than hand agitation produces. Sonication of contrast agent solutions is a practical and reliable technique that produces microbubbles small enough to readily traverse the capillary bed for distribution within the myocardial tissue. Microbubbles produced by the sonication of Renografin-76 have been shown to be a reliable and safe contrast agent in experimental animals and human patients. A more stable microbubble, albumex®, currently under clinical investigation, may offer an opportunity to evaluate acute changes in myocardial perfusion in patients in intensive care units by means of pulmonary artery or central venous catheter injections.

The gold standard angiography enables assessment of coronary anatomy, but does not offer an accurate means for assessing regional myocardial blood flow. Nevertheless, short of coronary arteriography, the surgeon, cardiologist, or anesthesiologist is unable to directly assess the success of coronary revascularization in providing flow to myocardial tissue. This inability may be especially troublesome when an unexpected event occurs to a patient who has recently undergone CABG surgery. The differential diagnosis includes an inadequate operation, graft occlusion, or some other unrecognized event not related to graft patency. Etiology can only be definitely determined by cardiac catheterization.

Contrast echocardiographic techniques performed in the catheterization laboratory in conjunction with coronary arteriography appear to provide valuable information on myocardial perfusion not available through traditional angiographic techniques; however, use during cardiac surgery has been limited by technical and methodological problems. These problems include intracoronary injections of contrast agents through small-gauge needles that cause the microbubbles to break down under pressure; injecting contrast into the aortic root without cross-clamping the aorta so that an unsatisfactory concentration of contrast flows retrograde into the coronary sinus and, consequently, into the coronary arteries and myocardium; or injecting unstable, and hence undetectable, contrast agents into the left atrium and left ventricle. We have described a method using aortic root injections of contrast through a cardioplegia catheter during CPB with the proximal aorta occluded. This method reliably demonstrates regional perfusion defects associated with coronary artery occlusion. We believe, in time, with the introduction of new stable contrast agents, injections from both right- and left-sided circulations will be possible. However, these agents currently do not exist or are not approved for commercial use. We attempted to provide a method of using the technology of contrast echocardiography at present in the operating room to assist the surgeon and anesthesiologist in evaluating the adequacy of myocardial perfusion after coronary revascularization.

This technique allows for the assessment of myocardial perfusion after coronary artery revascularization while cardiopulmonary bypass is still occurring. The images are obtained while the heart is arrested in diastole with the ascending aorta occluded. We have previously assessed myocardial perfusion without the aorta occluded via aortic root and left atrial injections of contrast in a beating heart; however, such assessment requires either a special contrast agent that is highly concentrated and stable—and not yet FDA approved—to image myocardial perfusion on-line, or an off-line analysis with a special computer to detect the enhanced myocardial perfusion with the injection of currently available contrast agents (i.e., renografin).

A potential benefit of contrast echocardiography may be the assessment of regional myocardial perfusion in those patients who are difficult to wean from cardiopul-
monary bypass. Such knowledge might be used to guide and evaluate decisions about therapies. For example, if poor perfusion of the anterior region of the heart occurred after a saphenous vein graft, a decision to redo the graft, to increase blood pressure with phenylephrine, or to relieve the spasm with nitroglycerin might be made. A subsequent contrast echocardiogram could then assess the perfusion results of the chosen therapy.

Another potential benefit of myocardial contrast echocardiography would be to correlate functional wall motion changes with graded changes in myocardial perfusion immediately following coronary bypass surgery to assess myocardium at risk of infarction. This analysis was, however, beyond the scope of this study because analysis of myocardial perfusion was done while the heart was arrested in diastole before separating from cardiopulmonary bypass.

We believe the future of contrast echocardiography will allow the direct assessment of regional myocardial perfusion in the operating room and that further studies are needed to assess the perioperative clinical potential of this technology, as it continues to evolve.
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